

## **Bioimpedance measurement as an assessment of margin positivity in Mohs surgical specimens of non-melanoma skin cancer: Management implications**

### **Authors:**

Ryan M. Svoboda, MD MS  
Clinical Research Fellow, National Society for Cutaneous Medicine, New York, NY

Manish J. Gharia, MD  
Founding Partner, Madison Medical Mohs Surgery, Milwaukee, WI  
Associate Clinical Professor, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

John Shell, PhD  
NovaScan Inc., Milwaukee, WI

William D. Gregory, PhD  
NovaScan Inc., Milwaukee, WI  
Emeritus Professor, Colleges of Engineering & Applied Science, and Health Sciences,  
University of WI, Milwaukee, WI

**Conflicts of Interest:** R Svoboda served on an Advisory Board for NovaScan, Inc. M Gharia is on the Advisory Board for NovaScan, Inc. and received honorarium. W Gregory and J Shell are employed by and have a financial interest in NovaScan Inc.

**Funding Sources:** This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant Award Numbers: 0944454 and 1058413.

### **IRB Status:**

IRB approved by NEIRB for up to 100 patients, renewed for 2018.

### **Previous Presentation:**

This article has not been published and is not pending review at any other journal or publication. Data on this subject was presented as a poster presentation at the 2017 American College of Mohs Surgeons Annual Meeting and a poster consisting of the data from this study has been presented at the 2018 American Academy of Dermatology Annual Meeting.

### **Corresponding Author Information:**

Ryan M. Svoboda, MD MS  
35 E 35th St. #208  
New York NY, 10016  
Email: rmsvoboda@gmail.com  
Phone: 646-341-6468

1 Non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) is responsible for significant morbidity, with squamous cell  
2 carcinoma also potentially causing mortality.<sup>1</sup> Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS) has become  
3 the standard of care for high-risk lesions, but the need for histopathologic margin assessment  
4 at each stage significantly adds to the procedural duration, particularly in multi-stage cases.

5  
6 Bioimpedance spectroscopy is a novel *ex-vivo* technique that relies on differing flow of electric  
7 current through diverse tissue types (such as muscle and fat)—a property related to varying  
8 water and electrolyte compositions of cellular components.<sup>2</sup> It has been used to measure total  
9 body water, detect lymphedema, and differentiate benign from malignant lesions.<sup>2</sup> This  
10 technology has also been applied to intraoperative surgical margin assessment for urologic  
11 malignancies.<sup>3</sup> However, it has not yet been applied to margin evaluation in NMSC.

12  
13 We assessed the sensitivity and specificity of bioimpedance (compared to frozen-section  
14 histology) for detecting the presence of malignant cells in the margins of MMS specimens of  
15 NMSC.

16  
17 One-hundred fifty-one specimens from 55 primary malignancies in 50 consecutive patients  
18 undergoing MMS for NMSC were assessed comparing *ex-vivo* bioimpedance spectroscopy  
19 (MarginScan, NovaScan LLC., Milwaukee, WI) with traditional frozen-section histopathologic  
20 methods (Figure 1, Table 1). IRB approval was obtained. Bioimpedance measurements were  
21 performed and the results were fit to a Cole-Cole function curve to obtain Cole relaxation  
22 frequencies.<sup>4</sup> Based on the results, each specimen was labeled as “unlikely” or “likely” to  
23 contain malignant cells in the margins, according to previously-described parameters.<sup>4</sup>  
24 Sensitivity, specificity, and positive (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) for  
25 bioimpedance were calculated by comparing the results to histopathologic findings.

26  
27 Forty-four out of 151 MMS specimens (29%) displayed positive margins by histopathologic  
28 analysis, while 45 (30%) were probable for margin positivity by bioimpedance. Overall, 148/151  
29 (98%) specimens displayed concordant results between histopathology and bioimpedance.  
30 Three discordant specimens representing one false positive and two false negative  
31 bioimpedance measurements were noted (Figure 2). Sensitivity and specificity of bioimpedance  
32 were 95.6% and 99.1%, respectively. PPV and NPV were 97.7% and 98.1%.

33  
34

35 The rate-limiting step of MMS is the preparation and assessment of frozen-sections at each  
36 procedural stage, which requires 20-45 minutes for an average procedure but can be much  
37 longer for complex cases.<sup>5</sup> In contrast, bioimpedance only requires seven seconds per  
38 measurement (with approximately 20.5 separate measurements required for complete margin  
39 assessment per Mohs stage, totaling 2 minutes, 23 seconds on average). Therefore, these  
40 findings suggest that bioimpedance may have the potential to reduce case time by eliminating  
41 the need to process negative specimens while maintaining high diagnostic accuracy.

42

43 Study limitations include small sample size, single surgeon, and that all lesions were already  
44 biopsy-proven NMSCs. However, since MMS is only typically performed on malignancy-  
45 confirmed lesions, this sample is representative of real-world practice. Additionally, SCCs and  
46 BCCs were analyzed together due to the small sample size. In the future, studies separately  
47 analyzing bioimpedance for detection of SCC and BCC in MMS specimens could be beneficial.

48

49 Larger multicenter studies are suggested to increase generalizability of these results. However,  
50 these preliminary data suggest that bioimpedance may provide an expedient, novel approach  
51 for evaluating the presence of malignant cells in MMS NMSC specimens, thereby making the  
52 process more efficient without loss of efficacy.

53

## 54 References

- 55 1. Stratigos A, Garbe C, Lebbe C, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of invasive squamous cell  
56 carcinoma of the skin: European consensus-based interdisciplinary guideline. *European journal*  
57 *of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)*. 2015;51(14):1989-2007.
- 58 2. Braun RP, Mangana J, Goldinger S, French L, Dummer R, Marghoob AA. Electrical Impedance  
59 Spectroscopy in Skin Cancer Diagnosis. *Dermatologic clinics*. 2017;35(4):489-493.
- 60 3. Khan S, Mahara A, Hyams ES, Schned AR, Halter RJ. Prostate Cancer Detection Using Composite  
61 Impedance Metric. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*. 2016;35(12):2513-2523.
- 62 4. Shell J, Gregory WD. A cancer detection device utilizing multi-tiered neural networks for  
63 improved classification. Paper presented at: Healthcare Innovation Point-Of-Care Technologies  
64 Conference (HI-POCT), 2016 IEEE2016.
- 65 5. Longo C, Gardini S, Piana S, et al. Ex Vivo Fluorescence Microscopy: Clinical Applications in  
66 Dermatology and Surgical Pathology. In: Hamblin MR, Avci P, Gupta GK, eds. *Imaging in*  
67 *Dermatology*. Academic Press; 2016:95-102.

68

69

70 **Abbreviations**

71 NSCM, non-melanoma skin cancer

72 IRB, institutional review board

73 MMS, Mohs micrographic surgery

74 PPV, Positive predictive value

75 NPV, Negative predictive value

76 US, United States

77 **Figure Legends**

78 Figure 1. Sample specimen cassette for impedance spectroscopy device

79

80 Figure 2. Distribution of Cole Frequencies of 151 Mohs specimens of non-melanoma skin  
81 cancer, stratified by histopathologic and bioimpedance results

82

83

84 **Tables**

85 Table 1. Diagnosis and location of included lesions

<b>Lesion Location</b>	<b># Cases BCC 43 Total (78%)</b>	<b># Cases SCC 12 Total (22%)</b>	<b>Total # Cases</b>
Nose	15	1	16
Cheek	9	2	11
Ear	4	2	6
Scalp	3	2	5
Lip	5	0	5
Forehead/Temple	2	2	4
Chin	2	0	2
Finger	0	2	2
Neck	1	0	1
Back	0	1	1
Shin	1	0	1
Shoulder	1	0	1

86 SCC = Squamous cell carcinoma, BCC = Basal cell carcinoma, # = number, % = Percent